



Shri Bittu, S/o Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, Jhabewal,
P.O. Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Division No.5, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 624 of 2021

Present:- Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Division No.5,
Ludhiana.

O R D E R

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 05.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Division No.5, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 24.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of the applicant, whereas the respondent is absent.

The representative of the applicant stated that the sought for information has been provided by the SPIO.

The Commission has also received an e-mail dated 28.07.2021 which has been sent by Shri Bittu, applicant stating that the sought for information has been provided to him by the SPIO.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and the applicant has received the information as per his e-mail, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 22.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Bittu, S/o Om Kumar,
Village Bholapur, Jhabawal,
P.O. Ramgarh, Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SHO, Police Station,
Meharban, Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 626 of 2021

Present:- Ms Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o SHO, Police Station, Meharban,
Ludhiana.

ORDER

Shri Bittu, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 10.04.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station, Meharban, Ludhiana. When no information was provided to the applicant by the SPIO, then he filed complaint against the SPIO before the Punjab State Information Commission on 24.05.2021. The case was registered and allocated to the Bench. Notice of hearing was issued to both the parties for today through **CISCO Webex Meetings Software**.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur is present on behalf of the applicant, whereas none come present on behalf of the respondent.

Shri Bittu filed RTI application dated 10.04.2021 and he sought information firstly regarding FIR No. 170 dated 31.12.2020 and secondly sought status report of the case.

Ms. Sukhwinder Kaur, the representative of the applicant stated that no information has been provided to the applicant.

On the other hand a mail has been sent by the SPIO-cum-SHO, Police Station Meharban, Ludhiana, which is received in the office of the Commission vide diary no. 21377 dated 20.09.2021 and it is submitted by the respondent that copy of FIR has been sent to the applicant, but the case is still under investigation and has not yet been completed, so the information cannot be provided to the applicant and is exempted U/s 8(1)(h) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Thus, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed** with the direction to the SPIO that whenever the investigation in the concerned case will be completed then the copy of the report be sent to the appellant through registered post within 10 days and compliance report be sent to the Commission.

Dated: 22.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Teja Singh,
S/o Shri Ram Ballam,
Sahana Patti, Village & P.O. Shadi Hari,
Tehsil Dirba, Distt. Sangrur.

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. (Pension Branch), PB.
Vikas Bhawan,
Mohali.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 35 of 2021

Present:- Shri Teja Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Sh. Avtar Singh, Sr.Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Rural
Development and Panchayat Deptt. (Pension Branch), PB., Mohali.

ORDER

Shri Teja Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 01.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Rural Development and Panchayat Deptt. (Pension Branch), PB., Mohali.

Today the case is heard CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The complainant-applicant is absent today, whereas Sh. Avtar Singh is personally present on behalf of the SPIO.

The respondent stated that the attested copies of the sought for information has been sent to the complainant-applicant firstly vide letter no. 464 dated 15.04.2021 and again vide letter no. 995 dated 03.08.2021 through registered post.

As the SPIO has sent the information to the applicant vide letter no. 464 dated 15.04.2021 and again vide letter no. 995 dated 03.08.2021 through registered post and a period of more than one and half months has been passed, but the applicant has not sent any mail to the Commission nor sent any deficiency to the notice of the SPIO and moreover he is absent today, which means he is satisfied with the information sent by the SPIO.

As the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 22.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Sanjay Garg, S/o Shri Om Parkash Garg,
H.No. 1102, Sector 7,
Panchkula (Haryana).

..... Complainant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Managing Director,
PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 683 of 2020

Present:- Shri Sanjay Garg, complainant/applicant is absent.
Sh. Parampal Singh, Inspector is present on behalf of the SPIO-cum-Joint
Director, Storage, O/o Managing Director, PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh.

O R D E R

Shri Sanjay Garg, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 29.06.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Managing Director, PUNGRAIN, Chandigarh.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The applicant is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission and submitted that the required information has not been supplied till date. He has further requested that his address may be got changed as H. No. 1102, Sector 7, Panchkula (Haryana).

On the other hand the respondent, who is present on behalf of the SPIO stated that 1410 pages of information has been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no. 268 dated 04.03.2021 through e-mail on 04.03.2021 as well as through registered post on 05.03.2021. He further stated that in reply to objections raised by the complainant, again the information was sent to the applicant vide letter no. 749 dated 06.05.2021 through e-mail on 06.05.2021 as well as registered post on 07.05.2021.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 15.09.2021, diarized vide no. 20991, which is a copy of letter no. 1233 dated 14.09.2021.

I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the SPIO that 1410 pages of information has been sent to the complainant-applicant vide letter no. 268 dated 04.03.2021 through e-mail on 04.03.2021 as well as through registered parcel on 05.03.2021. He further stated that in reply to objections raised by the complainant, again the information was sent to the applicant vide letter no. 749 dated 06.05.2021 through e-mail on 06.05.2021 as well as registered post on 07.05.2021. It is further submitted by the SPIO that no further communication regarding any objection/clarification has been received from the complainant-applicant in the office of the SPIO.

As the SPIO has sent the information firstly vide letter no. 268 dated 04.03.2021 and again vide letter no. 749 dated 06.05.2021 and after that the applicant has not raised any objection/clarification as submitted by the SPIO. So, the present complaint case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 22.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.**



Shri Ankit Sehgal,
C/o Bombay Studio,
Near Main Post Office Chowk,
Pathankot.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Superintending Engineer,
O & M, Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Commissioner,
Municipal Corporation,
Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1788 of 2021

Present:- Shri Ankit Sehgal, appellant is present.
Sh. Manjit Inder Singh XEN-cum-SPIO, O/o Superintending Engineer, O & M,
Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar is present.

ORDER

Shri Ankit Sehgal, appellant filed RTI application dated 13.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Superintending Engineer, O & M, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar.

Today the case was heard through CISCO WEBEX SOFTWARE.

Both the parties are present today. The appellant stated that the complete sought for information has not been provided to him.

On the other hand the respondent stated that the sought for information firstly has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 25.06.2021. He further stated that Demand Draft No.962976 dated 21.09.2021 amounting to Rs.3,706/- (compensation of Rs.2,500/- plus Rs.1206/- excess amount received as requisite fee) has been provided to the appellant. He further stated that the appellant is seeking the same information in segregated format.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 23.09.2021, diarized vide no.21723, which is a copy of letter no.233 dated 21.09.2021 along with a copy of letter no.205 dated 22.07.2021 and a photocopy of Demand Draft No.962976 dated 21.09.2021 amounting to Rs.3,706/- in the name of Shri Ankit Sehgal, appellant.

The SPIO has provided the sought for information to the appellant and also paid the amount of compensation of Rs.2,500/- and excess amount of Rs.1,206/- to the appellant. So, the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 22.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Shri Mohan Lal,
S/o Shri Veeru Ram,
R/o Village Chuariyan Wali,
Tehsil & Distt. Fazilka.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Fazilka.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 290 of 2021

Present:- Shri Mohan Lal, complainant/applicant is absent.
None present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Fazilka.

O R D E R

Shri Mohan Lal, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 08.01.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Fazilka.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are absent today.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 11.08.2021 and 18.08.2021, which is a photo copy of demand draft no. 336841 dated 10.08.2021 amounting to Rs. 2000/- in the name of Sh. Mohan Lal, applicant along with attested copies of information and postal receipt dated 10.08.2021.

I have gone through the said letter and it is submitted by the respondent PIO that the attested copies of information along with compensation of Rs. 2000/- by way of demand draft has been sent to the appellant.

The PIO has sent the sought for information along with demand draft of Rs.2000/- to the applicant on 10.08.2021 through registered post and a period of more than one month has been passed but the applicant has not sent any mail to the Commission regarding the deficiencies in the information and neither he has attended the hearing for today, which means the appellant is satisfied with the sought for information.

As the SPIO has complied with the order of the Commission and sent the attested copies of information and compensation of Rs. 2000/- to the appellant, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed**.

Dated: 22.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Jasbir Singh,
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bholapur,
Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh,
Distt. Ludhiana.

.....Complainant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO, Panchayat Samiti,
Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No. 74 of 2021

Present:- Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant is absent.
Ms. Jeenat Khaira (94640-81707), SPIO-cum-BDPO, Panchayat Samiti,
Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar is present.

O R D E R

Shri Jasbir Singh, complainant/applicant filed RTI application dated 27.11.2020 and sought information from the SPIO, O/o BDPO, Panchayat Samiti, Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

The applicant is absent today, whereas the respondent is present.

The respondent stated that the complete information has been provided to the applicant. She further stated that she has sent a duly signed affidavit dated 14.07.2021 to the Commission as reply to the show cause notice issued vide order dated 23.06.2021.

The complainant-applicant though is absent today, but he has sent an e-mail to the Commission stating that he has received the sought for information.

I have gone through the contents of the duly attested affidavit dated 14.07.2021 sent by Ms. Jeenat Khaira, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Panchayat Samiti, Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar and it is submitted by the SPIO that the RTI application of the applicant was transferred to the concerned Tax Collector/Accounts Clerk U/s 6(3) of the RTI Act vide letter no. 84-85 dated 07.12.2020. According to his report the applicant was asked to deposit Rs. 5000/- as requisite fee for providing the information. She further submitted in the affidavit that in compliance to the order of the Commission the sought for information has already been provided to the applicant vide letter no. 38 dated 28.06.2021. The SPIO tendered unconditional apology and requested to drop the show cause notice.

After going through the reply to the show cause notice and after affording personal hearing to the SPIO it is clear that she is not personally liable for the delay in providing the information to the applicant. So, the show cause notice issued to Ms. Jeenat Khaira, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Panchayat Samiti, Nakodar is hereby dropped and filed.

As the SPIO has provided the sought for information to the applicant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 22.09.2021

**(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab**



Shri Chanderdeep Maggu, Advocate,
District Court Complex,
Chamber No. 172, Block-C,
New Judicial Court Complex,
Fazilka.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Director,
Rural Development & Panchayat Department, PB.,
Sector 62, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Director,
Rural Development & Panchayat Department, PB.,
Sector 62, Mohali.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2022 of 2021

Present:- Shri Chanderdeep Maggu, Advocate, appellant is present.
Ms. Jasvir Kaur, Sr.Asstt. is present on behalf of the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural
Development & Panchayat Department, PB., Sector 62, Mohali.

O R D E R

Shri Chanderdeep Maggu, Advocate, appellant filed RTI application dated
03.10.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o Director, Rural Development &
Panchayat Department, PB., Sector 62, Mohali.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

The appellant stated that complete information has not been provided to him and
he has also sent the deficiencies to the notice of the SPIO on 24.08.2021.

The respondent stated that the information related with the office of the SPIO has
already been provided to the appellant vide letter no. 7024 dated 10.12.2020. She further stated
that the remaining information is related with the office of BDPO, Fazilka and regarding this the
reply has also been sent to the appellant.

The Commission has received a mail sent by the SPIO on 27.08.2021, diarized
vide no. 19222, which is a copy of letter no. 5478 dated 23.08.2021 along with copy of letter no.
7292 dated 14.09.2018, copy of letter no. 7412 dated 18.09.2018, copy of letter no. 7024 dated
01.12.2020, copy of letter no. 307 dated 18.01.2021 and copy of letter no. 840 dated
11.02.2021. I have gone through the said letters and the above mentioned facts have been
submitted by the respondent.

The SPIO has provided the information to the appellant which was related with
his office and regarding the remaining information the appellant is advised to file fresh RTI
application before the concerned public authority. With this observation the present appeal case
is **disposed of and closed**.

(Avtar Singh Kaler)

Dated: 22.09.2021

State Information Commissioner, Punjab

Shri Rajinder Kumar Sharma,
Peer Banda Banoi, Street No.4,
Ward No. 10/235, Sunam,
Distt.Sangrur.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o DEO (SE),
Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o DEO (SE),
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3836 of 2020

Present:- Shri Rajinder Kumar Sharma, appellant is present.
Shri Malkit Singh, First Appellate Authority-cum-DEO (SE), Sangrur is present.

O R D E R

Shri Rajinder Kumar Sharma, appellant filed RTI application dated 04.07.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DEO (SE), Sangrur.

Today the case is heard through CISCO Webex Meetings Software.

Both the parties are present today.

I have heard both the parties at length and also gone through the RTI application dated 04.07.2020.

Shri Malkit Singh, First Appellate Authority-cum-District Education Officer, (SE), Sangrur submitted a copy of letter dated 21.09.2021 duly signed by him along with copy of DDR No. 33 dated 07.08.2020, which was registered at Police Station City, Sangrur along with other documents comprising of 136 pages.

I have gone through the letter dated 21.09.2021 and it is submitted in the letter that the file in which the information sought by the appellant through 1 to 3 points was existing that file has been lost. We have tried to trace the said file but till today we have not succeeded in tracing out the said file. It is further mentioned that regarding the loss of said file DDR No. 33 dated 07.08.2020 was lodged in Police Station City, Sangrur. I have gone through copy of the DDR No. 33 and it is regarding the loss of said file.

It is further submitted in the letter dated 21.09.2021 that information related to para no. 3 after the mis-placing/loss of the original file, another file was prepared and the information from page no. 1 to 8 has been provided to the appellant and except that no information is available in the office of the SPIO, O/o District Education Officer (SE), Sangrur regarding the sought for information by the appellant. So, the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 22.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.



Smt. Kamaldeep Kaur,
W/o Shri Ajaipal Singh,
VPO Guntala, Opp. Govt. Dispensary,
Ward No.5, Amritsar.

.....Appellant.

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/ o Deputy Commissioner,
Tarn Taran.

First Appellate Authority:
O/o Deputy Commissioner,
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2386 of 2021

Present:- Smt. Kamaldeep Kaur, appellant is present.
Shri Amarjit Rai Khanna, Functional Manager, O/o G.M./DIC, Tarn Taran is
Present on behalf of the SPIO.

O R D E R

Smt. Kamaldeep Kaur, appellant filed RTI application dated 15.02.2021 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o, Deputy Commissioner, Tarn Taran.

Both the parties are personally present today.

I have gone through the RTI application dated 15.02.2021 and also heard both the parties.

The appellant stated that incomplete, unattested information was sent to her by the SPIO.

The respondent has come present along with attested copies of 18 pages of sought for information and also brought the original relevant record. He has provided the attested copies of information to the appellant. The appellant has also got inspected the original record brought by the respondent. She is further seeking the copies of Adhar Card and PAN card of the members of the Society, but that information is denied U/s 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005 as these documents are personal documents of the members of the Society which cannot be provided being personal information.

So, as the other information has been provided to the appellant and she has also got inspected the original record brought by the respondent, so the SPIO has resolved the matter involved in the RTI application. Hence the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 22.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab



Shri Manohar alias Mohan Lal, S/o Shri Mehar Chand,
R/o Village Sarabha,
Distt. Ludhiana.

..... Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,
O/ District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

Appeal Case No. 1530 of 2021

Present:- Shri Manohar alias Mohan Lal, appellant is absent.
Ms. Navdeep Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Pakhowal, Distt. Ludhiana is present.

O R D E R

Shri Manohar alias Mohan Lal,, appellant filed RTI application dated 09.12.2020 and sought some information from the SPIO, O/o DDPO, Ludhiana.

The appellant is absent today, whereas Ms. Navdeep Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO, Pakhowal, Distt. Ludhiana is personally present at the Commission and she stated that attested copies of 35 pages of information has been provided by hand to the appellant on 21.09.2021 vide letter no. 3511 dated 21.09.2021. She has submitted a copy of letter no. 3511 dated 21.09.2021 which is taken in the file of the Commission.

I have gone through the said letter vide which 35 pages of information has been provided by hand to the appellant and the appellant has also made his thumb impression on the said letter after receiving the information. Ms. Navdeep Kaur, SPIO-cum-BDPO has countersigned the thumb impression made by the appellant.

As the SPIO has provided the attested copies of sought for information to the appellant and resolved the matter involved in the RTI application, so the present appeal case is **disposed of and closed.**

Dated: 22.09.2021

(Avtar Singh Kaler)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

(Regd.) CC: BDPO, Pakhowal, Distt. Ludhiana. – for information.